



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No: 10/604,658

Inventor: Daines

Art Unit: 3711

Filed: 8/7/03

Examiner:Collins

PETITION UNDER 1.48(a) TO CORRECT INVENTORSHIP

Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, Va 22313-1450

Sir:

In accordance with 1.48(a), the Applicant requests to correct inventorship in the above-referenced application. The currently named inventor is: Shirley Daines. The Applicant wishes to add two inventors: Franklin Daines and Michael Shackleford. The omission of these inventors was made without deceptive intent on anyone's part.

Included herewith is: new signed declarations, and statements by Franklin Daines and by Michael Shackleford that the error arose without deceptive intent. Also included is the processing fee of \$130.

If there are any issues with this Petition, please feel free to contact the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

John H. Muskin 2306
John H. Muskin date
Reg. No. 43,824
MUSKIN & CUSICK (215) 853-8257

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

2-3-06

Jon Muskin

2-3-6 0

02/07/2006 NNGUYEN1 00000020 10604658

01 FC:1464

130.00 BP



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No.: 10/604,658

Inventor: Daines et al.

Group Art Unit: 3711

Title: Side Bet for Blackjack Style Card Game


Examiner: Collins

STATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.48 BY NEWLY ADDED INVENTOR

I, Michael Shackelford, am an inventor in the above referenced application. The omission of my name on the original application papers was an error that occurred without deceptive intent on my part.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Respectfully submitted,


Michael Shackelford1-31-06
date



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No.: 10/604,658

Group Art Unit: 3711

Inventor: Daines et al.

Examiner: Collins

Title: Side Bet for Blackjack Style Card Game

STATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.48 BY NEWLY ADDED INVENTOR

I, Franklin Daines, am an inventor in the above referenced application. The omission of my name on the original application papers was an error that occurred without deceptive intent on my part.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Respectfully submitted,

Franklin Daines date



United States Patent Application

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: SIDE BET FOR BLACKJACK STYLE CARD GAME

The application with: serial no. 10/604,658, filed August 7, 2003.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 (attached hereto). I also acknowledge my duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability which became available between a filing date of a prior application and the national or PCT international filing date in the event this is a Continuation-In-Part application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.63(e).

I hereby appoint to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith:

All attorneys/agents associated with customer number 43,536

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/organization/who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct them otherwise.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of first inventor: Shirley Daines

Citizenship: Canada

Post Office Address: C/O Canadian 21 Stook
4950 - 47 Avenue
Red Deer T4N 6P8
Canada

Residence city: Red Deer

Signature: 

Date: 

Full Name of second inventor: Franklin Daines

Citizenship: Canada

Post Office Address: C/O Canadian 21 Stook

4950 - 47 Avenue

Red Deer T4N 6P8

Canada

Signature: Franklin Daines

Residence city: Red Deer

Date: Dec 27/2005

Full Name of third inventor: Michael Shackleford

Citizenship: United States

Post Office Address: 9200 Sienna Vista Drive

Las Vegas, NV 89117

Residence city: Las Vegas

Signature: _____

Date: _____

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and


- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
- (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

(c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

- (1) Each inventor named in the application;
- (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.



FEB 06 2006

Full Name of second inventor: Franklin Daines

Citizenship: Canada

Post Office Address: C/O Canadian 21 Stook
4950 - 47 Avenue
Red Deer T4N 6P8
Canada

Residence city: Red Deer

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Full Name of third inventor: Michael Shackleford

Citizenship: United States

Post Office Address: 9200 Sienna Vista Drive
Las Vegas, NV 89117

Residence city: Las Vegas

Signature: Michael ShacklefordDate: 1-31-06